Programming Tool





Insightful solutions. Empowering advice.

Introductions



Dwayne Claassen Roading Engineer Northland Transport Alliance



iabley





Introductions







Contents

- 1. Setting the scene
- 2. Existing tools
- 3. The gap / opportunity
- 4. How the tool was built
- 5. Tool functionality
- 6. Next steps



Northland

- Number of fatalities and serious injuries happening on our roads is increasing
- Predominantly rural based roading network:
 - Lower population numbers
 - Smaller isolated communities
 - Lower Socioeconomic populations
- Funding Criteria Results Alignment, Cost-Benefit Appraisals
- Programme Management Tight timeframes, limited budgets, strong political influence.

iabley

Barriers to programme development

 Development of Low/Cost Low Risk programmes based on programme level business cases

Alabley

- Demonstrates strategic alignment and alternative options
- Demonstrate clear rationale
- Most effective response to the problem



Existing tools





Northland Transportation Alliance Risk Mapping

Q,

Performance Tracking Report

 +
 Find address or place

 Image: Second sec





🕒 止 💷 🗄 📚 👀

≈ ×

aving all options in a g m all being included in	roup unselected will result in the filter.
Filter by Severity	Filter by Movements
Fatal	🔲 Head On
Serious	🔲 Run Off Road
Minor	Intersection
Non Injury	Other
Filter by Users	Filter by Factors
Car	Speed
Motorcycle	Alcohol
Heavy Vehicle	Failed to Give Way
Bicycle	Distracted
Pedestrian	Other
Other	
Day / Night	Dry / Wet
Day	Dry
Night	🗆 Wet
rom	То
2008 👻	2018 -
Load	Clear



Northland Transportation Alliance Risk Mapping

Q

Performance Tracking Report

 +
 Find address or place

 ■

 ●
 ■

 ●
 ■

←

Developed by Abley

6



NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

*∧*ıabley

Northland Transportation Alliance Risk Mapping

Developed by Abley

● 🗽 🗟 🗄 📚 🛈



NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

Alabley



iabley

Why the tool was developed















Why the tool was developed

- Bridge the gap
- Build programmes that can easily be prioritised
- Simultaneously call on all relevant documentation

Alapley

• Link existing map packages

Work Smarter, Not Harder.



https://me.me/i/work-smarter-not-harder-4916699









New Zealand Government





New Zealand Government

iabley



NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE Revenue Constant Council Constant Const

iabley

182

		Turning bays	15
D2: Wide medi Description	ians A wide (>9m) grassed traversable median in the	Description	Right han and left lune (diverge) bays on the main road to remove luming traffic from conflict with through traffic.
		Application	RIT, Y and crossroads intersections were there are high furning volumes leaving the main road
		Issues	or difficulty tuning due to high through traffic volume on the migor road. Care is required as tun bays typically reduce mean or canates which are of one severity, but in many stations can increase crossing crashes which are most severe. Right tun bays: • can result in increased crossing orsahes at crossroods, as it is more difficult to anticipate oncoming taffic due to he widered intersection, and pools aligned right tun bays can block, visibilly of opposing through traffic • when introduced on rule corres can result in poor geometry for the through traffic lane, so when interduced on rule corres can result in poor geometry for the through traffic lane, so
Application	Used on rural high traffic volume roads with more A depressed median configuration should be trav should preferably be \$120 should not exceed 1:10, particularly where a m must not exceed 1:6 Oniopice moving and associated traffic managem		Left in the second s
	vegetation, it could reduce maintenance costs an vehicles. Does not totally eradicate high-speed vehicle cor distance, so a barrier is still desirable. If a barrier a safety perspective and land cost can be saved	Crash reduction	Both require larger footprint than other simple junction forms. 33% reduction in injury crashes. [15] 35% reduction in injury crashes. [3] However these are low severity rear end crashes that are saved.
Crash reduction	A percentage reduction in crashes by increasing t [65]	Other benefits	Pala and senious crash hisk may increase. Improved through flow.
	50 Percentage crash reduction by the in	Cost	Medium/high
	45 40	Treatment life	25 years
	235 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Applicable key high- risk crash movement types	
	0 1 2 3 4 Increase clear some by curvesthink	References	[3][15][23]
Other benefits	Visually more pleasing than a sealed surface with Assist with stormwater drainage		
Cost	5-55		NZ Transport Anaprov Mitch sick internation mide July 2013 p.110
Treatment life References and guidelines	5-20 years [65] [99]		NL Hampkin Agency regimes missiscon guide July 2013 p.110
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE			



InterventionTreatment PhilosophyCrash type(s) addressedUrban / RuralCrash reduction potentialIntersectionsCorridorsControlONRC

Intersections	Corridors
Control	ONRC
No. of approaches	Road attributes
	AADT
	Road type (divided etc)

iabley



NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

AGENCY

For North Council CO KAIPARA W WHANGAREI PROTOCOMER PROTOCOMER







	ONRC	Secondary Collector
5	AADT	<1000 vpd
	Collective Risk	Low Medium
	Personal Risk	High
	OoCC	2
The second se	Speed limit	100km/h
The second	SaAS	80km/h
	Common Crashes	Run off Road



Q

▼ Find address or place

Alabley Safe Interventions

The treatment options below have been calculated based on the selected corridor in the map viewer.

Select suitable treatment options, then confirm the crash reduction factor and enter the cost of implementation for each. Added projects will appear in the Saved Programmes tab in the web viewer.

Treatment Options

Treatment Philosophy Safer Corridors

Treatment Options	% Crash Reduction	Est DSi Saved per Annum	Cost (\$) of Implementation	BCR	Select
Active signs (vehicle or speed activated)	35	0.08			
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.07			
Curve warning	25	0.06			
Reduce speed to SAAS	25	0.06			

All crash types

Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to:

Add Custom Intervention

atety Programming Tool	
Corridor Length	5.1 KIII
ONRC	Secondary Collector
Collective Risk	Low Medium
Personal Risk	High
Environment	Rural
Speed Limit	100
Out of Context Curves	2
Safe and Appropriate Speed	80
Treatment Philosophy	Safer Corridors
Results Alignment	High

Х

Crash Details (2013-2017)

Head On	0
Run Off Road	4
Intersection	0
Wet	1
Dark	1
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	0
Total	4

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions



Case Study









Northland Transportation Alliance Programming Tool

Safety Programming Tool

Corridor Details

Corridor Lenath

Collective Risk

Personal Risk

Environment

Speed Limit

Speed

Out of Context Curves

Safe and Appropriate

Treatment Philosophy

Results Alignment

ONRC

Select corridor/intersection
OR

Define custom start/end points

2.0 km

Arterial

High

Rural

100

High

1 60

Medium High

Transformational

* ×



Crash Details (2013-2017) Head On 1 Run Off Road 3 0 Intersection Wet 0 Dark 4 0 Pedestrian Cyclist 1 6 Total

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

*∧*ıabley

Х

Alabley Safe Interventions

0.00

The treatment options below have been calculated based on the selected corridor in the map viewer.

Select suitable treatment options, then confirm the crash reduction factor and enter the cost of implementation for each. Added projects will appear in the Saved Programmes tab in the web viewer.

Treatment Options

Annual Est. DSi Saved

Treatment Philosophy Transformational

Treatment Options	% Crash Reduction	Est DSi Saved per Annum	Cost (\$) of Implementation	BCR	Select
Reduce speed to SAAS	35	0.14			
Mid Barrier	80	0.13			
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal)	30	0.12			
Roadside barriers (continuous)	45	0.08			
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.05			
Add custom intervention Apply crash re	eduction to:	All crash types	 Add Custom Inte 	ervention	
Summan, of projects		Add to Dec			

Add to Programme		
Add to Existing Programme Select a Programme	•	
Add to New Programme		

Select corridor/intersection	
OR	
Define evene etert (and mainte	

Corridor Details

Corridor Length	2.0 km
ONRC	Arterial
Collective Risk	Medium High
Personal Risk	High
Environment	Rural
Speed Limit	100
Out of Context Curves	1
Safe and Appropriate Speed	60
Treatment Philosophy	Transformational
Results Alignment	High

Crash Details (2013-2017)

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions



Safety Programming Too

Х

⊗ x

Select corridor/intersection

OR

Define custom start/end points

Corridor Details	
Corridor Length	2.0 km
ONRC	Arterial
Collective Risk	Medium High
Personal Risk	High
Environment	Rural
Speed Limit	100
Out of Context Curves	1
Safe and Appropriate Speed	60
Treatment Philosophy	Transformational
Results Alignment	High

Crash Details (2013-2017)

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions

*∧*ıabley

Alabley Safe Interventions

The treatment options below have been calculated based on the selected corridor in the map viewer.

Select suitable treatment options, then confirm the crash reduction factor and enter the cost of implementation for each. Added projects will appear in the Saved Programmes tab in the web viewer.

Treatment Options

Treatment Philosophy Transformational

Treatment Options	% Crash Reduction	Est DSi Saved per Annum	Cost (\$) of Implementation	BCR	Select
Reduce speed to SAAS	35	0.14	\$30,000	101.20	
Mid Barrier	80	0.13	\$4,000,000	0.70	
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal)	30	0.12			
Roadside barriers (continuous)	45	0.08	\$3,200,000	0.54	
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.05	\$1,000,000	1.08	

Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to:

•	Add Custom Intervention
---	-------------------------

Summary of projects		Add to Programme	
		Add to Existing Programme Select a Programme	
Annual Est. DSi Saved	0.00	Add to New Programme	

All crash types

Safety Programming To

Х

Eø

Alabley Safe Interventions

The treatment options below have been calculated based on the selected corridor in the map viewer.

Select suitable treatment options, then confirm the crash reduction factor and enter the cost of implementation for each. Added projects will appear in the Saved Programmes tab in the web viewer.

Treatment Options

Treatment Philosophy Transformational

Treatment Options	% Crash Reduction	Est DSi Saved per Annum	Cost (\$) of Implementation	BCR	Select
Reduce speed to SAAS	35	0.14	\$30,000	101.20	
Mid Barrier	80	0.13	\$4,000,000	0.70	
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal)	30	0.12			
Roadside barriers (continuous)	45	0.08	\$3,200,000	0.54	
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.05	\$1,000,000	1.08	

Add custom intervention	Apply crash reduction to:	All crash types	Add Custom Intervention
Summary of projects		Run off road Head On (corridor sections) Intersection (corridor sections) Wet Dark Bight turn against (intersections)	IMe Select a Programme
Annual Est. DSi Saved	0.00	Crossing (intersections) Movement H.J.K.L (intersections)	ne
		Movement G (intersections) Cyclist (intersections) Pedestrian (intersections)	

Select contraot/m		
OR		
Define custom start	/end points	
Corridor Details		
Corridor Length	2.0 km	
ONRC Arterial		
Collective Risk	Medium High	
Personal Risk	High	
Environment	Rural	

Crash	Details	(2013-2017)

Results Alignment

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions



Х

Select corridor/intersection		
OF	3	
Define custom start/end points		
Corridor Details		
Corridor Length	2.0 km	

Corridor Length	2.0 km
ONRC	Arterial
Collective Risk	Medium High
Personal Risk	High
Environment	Rural
Speed Limit	100
Out of Context Curves	1
Safe and Appropriate Speed	60
Treatment Philosophy	Transformational
Results Alignment	High

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6



⊿ abley	Safe Interventions
----------------	--------------------

Reduce speed to SAAS	35	0.14	\$30,000	101.20	~
Mid Barrier	80	0.13	\$4,000,000	0.70	
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal)	30	0.12			
Roadside barriers (continuous)	45	0.08	\$3,200,000	0.54	
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.05	\$1,000,000	1.08	*
ATP Centreline and Edgeline	15	0.06	\$200,000	6.51	~

Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to

All crash types Add Custom Intervention ٠

Summary of projects

Annual Est. DSi Saved	0.19
Total Cost of Implementation	\$1,230,000
BCR	3.40
Results Alignment	High

Add to Programme	
Add to Existing Programme	Select a Programme
Add to New Programme	
Project Name	
Project Description	
RSRP Start	
RSRP End	

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE For North Dintet Council Control Councel Councel Councel Councel







Safety Programming Too

Mid Barrier 80 0.13 \$4,000,000 0.70 Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal) 30 0.12	~
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal) 30 0.12 Image: Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal) Roadside barriers (continuous) 45 0.08 \$3,200,000 0.54 Barriers at high risk locations 30 0.05 \$1,000,000 1.08 ATP Centreline and Edgeline 15 0.06 \$200,000 6.51 Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to: All crash types Add Custom Intervention	~
Roadside barriers (continuous) 45 0.08 \$3,200,000 0.54 Barriers at high risk locations 30 0.05 \$1,000,000 1.08 ATP Centreline and Edgeline 15 0.06 \$200,000 6.51	~
Barriers at high risk locations 30 0.05 \$1,000,000 1.08 ATP Centreline and Edgeline 15 0.06 \$200,000 6.51 Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to: All crash types Add Custom Intervention	~
ATP Centreline and Edgeline 15 0.06 \$200,000 6.51 Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to: All crash types Add Custom Intervention	
Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to: All crash types Add Custom Intervention	~
Summary of projects Add to Descrements	
Summary of projects Add to Programme	
Annual Est. DSi Saved 0.19 Add to New Programme Select a Programme Sel	•
Total Cost of Implementation \$1,230,000 Project Name Carl Test	
BCR 3.40 Test Test programme	
Results Alignment High Project Description	
RSRP Start	
RSRP End	

OR Define custom start/end points Corridor Details Corridor Details Corridor Length 2.0 km ONRC Arterial Collective Risk Medium High Personal Risk High Environment Rural Speed Limit 100 Out of Context Curves 1 Safe and Appropriate 60 Speed Treatment Philosophy Transformational Results Alignment High

Crash Details (2013-2017)

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6

Download counter measures

Launch Safe Interventions



Х

Select corridor/intersection		
OF	3	
Define custom start/end points		
Corridor Details		
Corridor Length	2.0 km	

Corridor Length	2.0 km
ONRC	Arterial
Collective Risk	Medium High
Personal Risk	High
Environment	Rural
Speed Limit	100
Out of Context Curves	1
Safe and Appropriate Speed	60
Treatment Philosophy	Transformational
Results Alignment	High

Head On	1
Run Off Road	3
Intersection	0
Wet	0
Dark	4
Pedestrian	0
Cyclist	1
Total	6



⊿ abley	Safe Interventions
----------------	--------------------

Reduce speed to SAAS	35	0.14	\$30,000	101.20	~
Mid Barrier	80	0.13	\$4,000,000	0.70	
Clear zone increase (e.g. roadside hazard removal)	30	0.12			
Roadside barriers (continuous)	45	0.08	\$3,200,000	0.54	
Barriers at high risk locations	30	0.05	\$1,000,000	1.08	*
ATP Centreline and Edgeline	15	0.06	\$200,000	6.51	~

Add custom intervention Apply crash reduction to

All crash types Add Custom Intervention ٠

Summary of projects

Annual Est. DSi Saved	0.19
Total Cost of Implementation	\$1,230,000
BCR	3.40
Results Alignment	High

Add to Programme	
Add to Existing Programme	Select a Programme
Add to New Programme	
Project Name	
Project Description	
RSRP Start	
RSRP End	

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE For North Dintet Council Control Councel Councel Councel Councel





Northland Transportation Alliance Programming Tool



NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

iabley







NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE AGENCY

iabley

Next Steps

- Expanding dataset of interventions based on international best practice
- Refine economic assessments
- Post implementation performance tracking
- RAMM and RP data



Conclusion

- Streamlining processes
- Better prioritisation of programmes
- Enable programme flexibility



